

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RANCISCO VIDAL, SANDRA SCHARAS,
BRITTANY PAUGH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DOUGLAS HERNDON, MARY KAY
HULTHUS, CYNTHIA SOUCHAK,
BENJAMIN NADIG, STEVE SISOLAK,
SHERIFF JOE LOMBARDOSTATE
FACILITIES,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00442-RFB-EJY

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 9] of the Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered November 5, 2021.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due

1 by November 19, 2021. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this
2 case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

3 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 9] is
4 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims is DISMISSED with prejudice for
6 failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted as he cannot seek relief on behalf of others
7 and his claims are barred by *Heck v. Humphry* rendering amendment futile

8
9 DATED: January 14, 2022.



10 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
11 **United States District Judge**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28